

Constraint on intermediate-range gravity from earth-satellite and lunar orbiter measurements, and lunar laser ranging

Guangyu Li and Haibin Zhao*

*Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences
Nanjing 210008, P.R.China*

*National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing 100012, P.R.China*

Received December 23, 2004

Revised March 10, 2005

In the experimental tests of gravity, there have been considerable interests in the possibility of intermediate-range gravity. In this paper, we use the earth-satellite measurement of earth gravity, the lunar orbiter measurement of lunar gravity, and lunar laser ranging measurement to constrain the intermediate-range gravity from $\lambda = 1.2 \times 10^7 \text{ m} - 3.8 \times 10^8 \text{ m}$. The limits for this range are $\alpha = 10^{-8} - 5 \times 10^{-8}$, which improve previous limits by about one order of magnitude in the range $\lambda = 1.2 \times 10^7 \text{ m} - 3.8 \times 10^8 \text{ m}$.

1. Introduction

To test theories of gravity and to probe its origin, there have been considerable interests in the search for non-Newtonian gravity.¹ Both composition-dependent and composition-independent deviations from Newtonian gravity have been searched for. Composition-independent deviations from the Newton's inverse square law between two point masses M and m can be expressed in terms of a distance-dependent gravitational "constant" $G(r)$ as

$$G(r) = F_{grav} r^2 / Mm, \quad (1)$$

where r is the separation distance between two masses. Wagonar,² Fujii,³ and O'Hanlon⁴ have provided a theoretical basis for this variation and proposed $\alpha - \mu$ model as

$$G(r) = G_c [1 + \alpha(1 + \mu r)e^{-\mu r}], \quad (2)$$

where G_c , α , and $\mu (= \lambda^{-1})$ are constants, and $G(r)$ as a function of r is shown in Fig. 1. As shown in the figure, $G(r)$ goes to $G_c[1 + \alpha]$ with decreasing distance, and goes to G_c with increasing distance.

*Email: gyl@pmo.ac.cn

Since the proposal of the $\alpha - \mu$ model, there have been theoretical analyses and experimental efforts to constrain the variable ranges of α and μ .^{1,5,6,7} The cumulated constraints together with the result obtained in this paper are shown in Fig. 2.

In this paper, we use the satellite measurement of earth gravity, the lunar orbiter measurement of lunar gravity, and lunar laser ranging measurement to constrain the intermediate-range gravity from $\lambda = 1.2 \times 10^7 \text{ m} - 3.8 \times 10^8 \text{ m}$. In section 2, we discuss the value of the GM_{earth} from satellite measurement of earth gravity. In section 3, we discuss the value of GM_{moon} from the lunar orbiter measurement of lunar gravity. In section 4, we discuss the $G'M_{earth+moon}$ obtained from the lunar laser ranging experiment. In section 5, we fit the α parameter of the $\alpha - \mu$ model to these values to constrain the intermediate-range gravity. In section 6, we look into possible improvements in the future.

2. Measurement of GM_{earth} from Satellite Orbit Determination

The geocentric gravitational coefficient of the earth (GM_{earth}) is a key parameter of earth gravity model. It is determined by observing the influence of this parameter on the motion of earth satellites. LAGEOS (LAsER GEODYNAMICS Satellite), launched in 1976, was designed to minimize the effects of non-gravitational forces. Because of its high altitude (5900 km), the high- l components of the earth's geopotential are greatly attenuated. The result of GM_{earth} determined by LAGEOS satellite has been improved consistently.⁸ In 1985, a value of $398600.440 \pm 0.002 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2$ for GM_{earth} was determined based on 8 years data of laser ranging to LAGEOS by Tapley *et al.*⁹ This improved by one order of magnitude than that of GM_{earth} ($398600.44 \pm 0.02 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2$)¹⁰ determined using laser ranging to 4 near-earth satellites in 1978. In 1989, Ries *et al.*¹¹ reported a solution for GM_{earth} obtained from LAGEOS laser ranging and also from a multi-satellite solution to be $398600.4405 \pm 0.001 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2$.

In 1992, Ries *et al.*⁸ found that the value of the correction for the offset between the LAGEOS center-of-mass and the effective reflecting surface should be shifted by 11 mm. After the center-of-mass offset error had been corrected, they improved determination of GM_{earth} by two methods. The first method is the LAGEOS-only solution, where the data set consisted of laser ranges from over 60 stations spanning the five-year period from November 1986 to November 1991. The second one is that laser range, Doppler and altimeter observations from 17 near-earth satellites are combined with surface gravity data in a solution for GM_{earth} . The effects of general relativity are taken into account in these two methods. The determined values for GM_{earth} by two above-mentioned methods are remarkable in agreement with each other. The value of GM_{earth} determined there is $398600.4418 \pm 0.0008 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2$ in SI units or $398600.4415 \pm 0.0008 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2$ in TT (Terrestrial Time) units. The scale difference of $L_G = 6.969290134 \times 10^{-10}$ between the TT and SI units results in a change of $\Delta GM_{earth} = 0.0003 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2$.^{11,12} The value of GM_{earth} , including the

mass of the earth's atmosphere, has been estimated with $1\text{-}\sigma$ uncertainties in the measurement data. The *rms* of the residuals from the short-arc fit was 2.8 cm.⁸ UT/CSR (University of Texas/Center of Space Research) has obtained a solution of the gravity field complete to degree and order 50 by the second method. Since then more endeavors have been taken into developing earth gravity model with higher degree and order, while the value of GM_{earth} has not been improved. In fact, the value is still adopted by the IERS(2003) standard released recently.¹³

A new EGM96 gravity Model, which was proposed by NASA (National Aeronautics and Space Administration), NIMA (National Imagery and Mapping Agency), and OSU (Ohio State University) in 1993, is developed to support terrestrial and extraterrestrial scientific endeavors in connection with its associated global geoid. That is one of most accurate earth gravity models and its geoid undulation with respect to the WGS84 (World Geodetic System 1984) ellipsoid is about 0.5 m to 1.0 m.

CHAMP¹⁴ (CHALLENGING Minisatellite Payload), which was launched on July 15, 2000, was proposed by DLR (Deutsches Zentrum für Luft- und Raumfahrt) in 1994 and managed by GFZ (GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam). Determining the earth gravity field is one of main scientific objectives of this project. The CHAMP project improves by two orders of magnitude in precision for determining the earth gravity field. Thus CHAMP obtains low frequency characteristics of high-precision earth static gravity field model and their variations with time. EIGEN-CHAMP03S¹⁵ is a CHAMP-only gravity field model derived from CHAMP GPS satellite-to-satellite and accelerometer data out of the period from October 2000 to June 2003. The accuracy of EIGEN-CHAMP03S is about 5 cm and 0.5 mgal in terms of geoid heights and gravity anomalies, respectively, with space resolution at $\lambda/2 = 400$ km.

GRACE,¹⁶ which was launched on March 17, 2002, is a joint project between NASA and DLR. The primary objective of the GRACE mission is to provide with unprecedented accuracy estimates of the global high-resolution models of the earth's gravity field for a period of up to five years. With the increase of GRACE measurement data, several Gravity field models derived by GRACE-only data or by combined GRACE and CHAMP data have been developed, including GGM01S (complete to degree/order 120), GRACE02S (complete to degree/order 150), and GGM02S (complete to degree/order 200).¹⁷

The value of GM_{earth} accepted by all of above-mentioned earth gravity models [IERS(2003), EGM96, EIGEN-CHAMP03S, GGM01S, GRACE02S, GGM02S] is that one determined by Ries *et al.*⁸ in 1992, i.e.,

$$GM_{earth} = 398600.4418 \pm 0.0008 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2. \quad (\text{SI units}) \quad (3)$$

3. Measurement of GM_{moon} from the Orbit Determination of Lunar Orbiters

Like earth, the GM_{moon} of the Moon is also a key parameter of Moon gravity field model. In early period, Lunar Orbiter series and Apollo series space projects from later 1960s to early 1970s have obtained large numbers of data for developing Moon gravity field model. The Clementine mission was launched on January 25, 1994. One of its key objectives is to establish a high-resolution gravity field model of the Moon by measurements of perturbations in the motion of the spacecraft to infer the lunar gravity field. The GLGM-2 (Goddard Lunar Gravity Model 2)¹⁸ is the final solution of the Clementine gravity model. The gravity solution is based on Doppler tracking of Lunar Orbiters 1 to 5, the Apollo-15 and Apollo-16 subsatellites, and the Clementine spacecraft.¹⁸ In order to obtain a more realistic estimate of the uncertainty of gravity field, the solution was calibrated by the use of subset solutions, as described by Lerch.¹⁹ The value of GM_{moon} for the GLGM-2 is $4902.80295 \pm 0.00224 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2$.

On January 6, 1998, NASA launched Lunar Prospector spacecraft, which is the third Discovery series mission. For about one-year, Lunar Prospector was placed in a near circular orbit at an altitude 100 km and remained in this 2-hour orbit with 90° inclination. This provided global coverage for the lunar gravity experiment every 14 days. On December 19, 1998, the altitude of spacecraft was reduced to an average of 40 km to calibrate the gravity field in preparation for an even lower extended mission. After January 29, 1999, Lunar Prospector began its extended mission when the spacecraft was lowered to an average of 30 km to obtain higher resolution gravity data.²⁰

Since there is no direct measurement of the lunar farside from Lunar Prospector or any other mission, gravity details for the farside of the moon are quite limited. However, it has almost no influence on the value of GM_{moon} . The 100th-degree lunar gravity models (LP100J and LP100K) extract most of the information from the nominal 100 km altitude.²⁰ In these Lunar Prospector models series, the values of GM_{moon} are listed in Table 1, where the value of LP100K model, $4902.800238 \pm 0.000206 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2$, is the most accurate one, i.e.,

$$GM_{moon} = 4902.800238 \pm 0.000206 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2. \quad (\text{SI units}) \quad (4)$$

Table 1. Key parameters of Lunar Prospector series gravity field models.²¹

Model	GM_{moon} (km^3/sec^2)	Degree/order
LP75D	4902.801374 ± 0.00031	75
LP75G	4902.800269 ± 0.000233	75
LP100J	4902.800476 ± 0.000209	100
LP100K	4902.800238 ± 0.000206	100

4. Determination of $G'M_{earth+moon}$ from the Lunar Laser Ranging Experiment

The accuracy of Lunar Laser Ranging have improved during last 35 years to 2 cm. The accuracy of Lunar Laser Ranging data from 1970 to 1997 adopted by DE 405, is about 2-3 cm; the relative accuracy of ranging is better than 8×10^{-11} . The latest DE 410 has been created especially for the positions of Mars and Saturn, and it includes recent determinations of GM values of the earth and the moon. In fact, the GM values discussed in section 2 and 3 are adopted by DE 410.²² In the earth-moon center-of-mass reference frame, the Newtonian equation of the motion of moon is

$$\ddot{\vec{r}} = -\frac{G' M_{earth+moon}}{r^3} \vec{r}, \quad (5)$$

where G' is the gravitational constant at earth-moon distance, i.e., 386000 km.

In DE 405, the GM constant of earth-moon system, which is in TDB (Barycentric Dynamical Time) Scale,²³ derived using Lunar Laser Ranging data is¹³

$$\begin{aligned} G' M_{earth+moon} &= 0.8997011347 \times 10^{-9} \text{ AU}^3/\text{day}^3 \\ &= 403503.23348 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2. \quad (\text{TDB scale}) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

When this is converted to SI units, it should be multiplied by a factor of $1 + L_B$ with $L_B = 1.55051976772 \times 10^{-8} \pm (2 \times 10^{-17})$,^{11,12} and the value is $403503.23974 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2$. The uncertainty of this value is not given in the literature of DE 405. However, we can estimate the uncertainty conservatively using the accuracy of Lunar Laser Ranging data explained at the beginning of this section, i.e., 8×10^{-11} in fraction of r ; for $\frac{\delta(G'M)}{G'M} = 2 \times \frac{\delta r}{r}$, the fractional uncertainty of $G' M_{earth+moon}$ is 1.6×10^{-11} or $\pm 0.00006 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2$. Putting the value and uncertainty together, $G' M_{earth+moon}$ is

$$G' M_{earth+moon} = 403503.23974 \pm 0.00006 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2. \quad (\text{SI units}) \quad (7)$$

5. Constraint on the $\alpha - \mu$ model

Comparing with the separation distance from earth to moon, the distance of $(1.8 - 12) \times 10^6 \text{ m}$, on which the gravity field models based on earth's satellite or moon's satellite are constructed, is rather short. For these short distances, we can obtain approximatively

$$M_{moon}/M_{earth} \simeq 0.0123000371 \pm (5 \times 10^{-10}), \quad (8)$$

from (3), (4) in section 2 and 3. DE 410 also gives this mass ratio as 0.0123000371; the same as (8) without quoting an uncertainty. However, DE 405 adopts the value as 0.0123000383.

From (7) and (8), we obtain

$$G' M_{earth} = 398600.4395 \pm 0.00022 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2. \quad (9)$$

In (9), G' is measured at the separation distance from earth to moon of $r_m = 3.86 \times 10^8$ m. According to section 2, at the distance of earth satellite of $r_s = 1.2 \times 10^7$ m, we have

$$GM_{earth} = 398600.4418 \pm 0.0008 \text{ km}^3/\text{sec}^2. \quad (10)$$

Dividing (10) by (9), we obtain

$$G/G' = 1.000000006(\pm 6 \times 10^{-9}). \quad (3 - \sigma) \quad (11)$$

According to $\alpha - \mu$ model, now we use (11) to constrain the parameter space of intermediate range gravity (2). Assuming $r_s < \lambda < r_m$ and setting

$$\Psi(\mu, r) = (1 + \mu r)e^{-\mu r}, \quad (\mu = \lambda^{-1}) \quad (12)$$

(11) becomes

$$\frac{1 + \alpha\Psi(\mu, r_s)}{1 + \alpha\Psi(\mu, r_m)} - 1 = (6 \pm 6) \times 10^9. \quad (13)$$

In (13), α is small and (13) can be approximated as

$$[\Psi(\mu, r_s) - \Psi(\mu, r_m)]\alpha = (6 \pm 6) \times 10^{-9}. \quad (14)$$

Thus,

$$\alpha \leq \frac{12 \times 10^{-9}}{[\Psi(\mu, r_s) - \Psi(\mu, r_m)]}. \quad (15)$$

According to (15), for a given λ in the range 1.2×10^7 m – 3.8×10^8 m, the α parameter of the $\alpha - \mu$ model is constrained as in Fig. 3. This new result improves the constraint in the range 1.2×10^7 m – 3.8×10^8 m on Fig. 2 by about one order of magnitude.

6. Discussions and Outlook

In this paper, we have used the satellite measurement of earth gravity, the lunar orbiter measurement of lunar gravity, and laser ranging measurement to constrain the intermediate-range gravity from $\lambda = 1.2 \times 10^7$ m – 3.8×10^8 m. For the satellite measurement of earth gravity, the geodesy mission GOCE (Gravity field and steady-state Ocean Circulation Explorer)²⁴ is planned to be launched in 2006. The scientific objective is the mapping of the Earth's static gravity field with a very high resolution and accuracy on a global scale. GOCE is a drag-free mission, flown in a circular and sun-synchronous orbit at an altitude between 240 km and 250 km. A successful mission presupposed, GOCE would finally deliver the Earth's gravity

field with a resolution of about 70 km half-wavelength and a global geoid with an accuracy of about 1 cm. When this and other geodesy missions are implemented, the GM_{earth} will be determined more accurately.

SMART-1,²⁵ the Europe's first lunar mission launched in 2003, is playing a vital role in developing cutting edge technologies that will be a major part of the future of lunar and planetary science. Japan plans to launch LUNAR-A mission in 2005,²⁶ whose scientific objective is to explore the lunar interior using seismometry and heat-flow measurements. However, improvement in lunar gravity model is not a goal of SMART-1 and LUNAR-A.

Japan is planning to launch SELENE (SELEnological and ENgineering Explorer) using H-2A rocket in 2006.²⁷ The project will use a main orbiting satellite and two subsatellites to obtain highly accurate lunar gravity model. After that, it will be possible to construct a global gravity model of the Moon using spherical harmonics, and the value of GM_{moon} will be improved.

Lunar laser ranging at CERGA is under renovation and improvement.²⁸ APOLLO program will use the 3.5 m telescope at the Apache Point Observatory for lunar laser ranging.²⁹ These experiments will push Lunar Laser Ranging into millimeter-accuracy range. As a result, constraints in this paper will also be improved.

For small λ , analysis of satellite ranging data together with GOCE will improve the constraints. For larger λ , we look into ASTROD I and ASTROD missions which are currently under pre-phase A study. ASTROD I and ASTROD are to implement deep-space pulse and interferometric laser ranging in the solar system, to test relativistic gravity and to increase the sensitivity of solar, planetary and asteroid parameter determination by 1 to 5 order of magnitudes. This will improve constraints on α for larger λ significantly.^{30,31,32,33}

In this paper, we have used data from earth gravity, lunar gravity, and lunar laser ranging separately to constrain the strength of intermediate-range gravity. In the future, we will use the $\alpha - \mu$ model as the underlying gravity theory for a grand fit of all three kinds of data together. With increased accuracy of gravity measurements and lunar laser ranging, we expect the constraints on intermediate-ranging gravity to be significantly improved.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation (Grant no. 10343001, 10475114, 10273024, 10203005, 10227301) and the Foundation of Minor Planets of Purple Mountain Observatory for financial support.

References

1. E. Fischbach, C. L. Talmadge, *The Search for Non-Newtonian Gravity*, (AIP Press and Springer-Verlag New York, New York, 1998); and references therein.
2. R. V. Wagoner, *Phys. Rev. D* **1**, 3209(1970).
3. Y. Fujii, *Nature Phys. Sci.* **234**, 5(1971); *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **69**, 494(1972).

4. J. O'Hanlon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **29**, 137(1972).
5. D. R. Mikkelsen, M. Newman, *Phys. Rev. D* **16**, 919(1977).
6. H.-T. Yu, W.-T. Ni, C.-C. Hu, F.-H. Liu, C.-H. Yang and W.-N. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **20**, 1813(1979).
7. E. Fischbach, *et al.*, *The search for new weak forces*, in Gravitational Waves and Experimental Gravity, Les Arcs, France, March 22, 2003; http://moriond.in2p3.fr/J03/transparencies/6_friday/1_morning/fischbach1.pdf.
8. J. C. Ries, R. J. Eanes, C. K. Shum and M. M. Watkins, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **19**, 529(1992).
9. B. D. Tapley, B. E. Schutz, R. J. Eanes, *J. Geophys. Res.* **90**(B11), 9235(1985).
10. F. J. Lerch, R. E. Laubscher, S. M. Klosko, *et al.*, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **5**, 1031(1978).
11. J. C. Ries, R. J. Eanes, C. Huang, *et al.*, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **16**, 271(1989).
12. M. Soffel, *et al.*, *The Astronomical Journal* **126**, 2687(2003).
13. D. D. McCarthy, G. Petit, *IERS Conventions (2003)*, *IERS Technical Note No. 32*, IERS Conventions Centre, (2004).
14. C. Reigber, *et al.*, *Space Science Reviews*, **108**(1), 55(2003).
15. C. Reigber, *et al.*, in *Earth Observation with CHAMP - Results from Three Years in Orbit*, ed. C. Reigber *et al.* (Springer, Berlin, 2004), p. 25.
16. <http://op.gfz-potsdam.de/grace/>
17. <http://op.gfz-potsdam.de/grace/results/>
18. M. T. Zuber, D. E. Smith, G. A. Neumann and F. G. Lemoine, "Clementine Gravity and Topography Data", NASA Planetary Data System, **Clem1-gravity-topo-v1**, vols. CL-XXXX,(1996).
19. F. J. Lerch, *Bulletin Geodesique*, **65**, 44(1991).
20. A. S. Konopliv, S. W. Asmar, E. Carranza, W. L. Sjogren, and D. N. Yuan, *ICARUS* **150**, 1(2001).
21. A. S. Konopliv, J. Alexopoulos, "Lunar Prospector Spherical Harmonics and Gravity Models", NASA Planetary Data System, **Lp-l-rss-5-gravity-v1**, vols. LP-1001,(1999).
22. E. M. Standish, "JPL Planetary Ephemeris DE410", Jet Propulsion Laboratory, **IOM 312.N-03-009**,(2003).
23. E. M. Standish, "About the parameters of DE 410", private communication,(2005).
24. A. Albertella, F. Migliaccio, F. Sansó, *Celestial Mechanics and Dynamical Astronomy*, **83**, 1(2002).
25. B. H. Foing, *et al.*, *Adv. Space Res.*, **31**(11), 2323(2003).
26. H. Mizutani, *et al.*, *Adv. Space Res.*, **31**(11), 2315(2003).
27. S. Sasaki, *et al.*, *Adv. Space Res.*, **31**(11), 2335(2003).
28. S. Samain, *et al.*, "MEO-the Future of the French Lunar Laser Ranging Station", in *Electronic Proceedings of 14th International Workshop on Laser Ranging*, San Fernando, Spain, Jun 7-11, 2004, <http://cdis.gafc.nasa.gov/lw14> (January 24, 2005).
29. T. W. Murphy, *et al.*, "APOLLO: Meeting the Millimeter Goal", in *Electronic Proceedings of 14th International Workshop on Laser Ranging*, San Fernando, Spain, Jun 7-11, 2004, <http://cdis.gafc.nasa.gov/lw14> (January 24, 2005).
30. A. Bec-Borsenberger, *et al.*, *Astrodynamical Space Test of Relativity using Optical Devices ASTROD-A Proposal Submitted to ESA in Response to Call for Mission Proposal for Two Flexi-Mission F2/F3*, January 31, 2000.
31. W.-T. Ni, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, **11**, 947(2002).
32. W.-T. Ni, S. Shiomi, A.-C. Liao, *Class. Quantum. Grav.*, **21**, S641(2004) .
33. W.-T. Ni, *et al.*, *Journal of Korean Physical Society*, **45**, S118(2004).